

"Express Mail" mailing label number EV 906854449 US

Date of Deposit: May 22, 2007

Patent

Case No. 10546/6

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:)

John W. Wong et al.)

U.S. Patent Application)

Serial No.: 09/424,431)

Filed: March 16, 2000)

For: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR)
DELIVERING RADIATION THERAPY)
DURING SUSPENDED VENTILATION)

Examiner: Mendoza, Michael G.

Art Unit: 3731

RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE

Mail Stop Issue Fee
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450


Dear Sir:

On February 23, 2007 a Notice of Allowance ("the Notice") was mailed regarding the above-mentioned application. The Notice presented a statement of reasons for the allowance of the claims. Applicants traverse the statement for several reasons. First, it refers to claim limitations that are not present in Applicants' Amendment After Issuance filed on May 3, 2007. Second, there

Do not enter /MM/

may be broader and other reasons why the claims are allowable over the prior art.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "J.C. Freeman", is written over a horizontal line.

John C. Freeman
Registration No. 34,483
Attorney for Applicants

BRINKS HOFER
GILSON & LIONE
P.O. Box 10395
Chicago, Illinois 60610
(312) 321-4200

Dated: May 22, 2007



"Express Mail" mailing label number EV 906854449 US

Date of Deposit: May 22, 2007

Patent

Case No. 10546/6

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

John W. Wong et al.

U.S. Patent Application

Serial No.: 09/424,431

Filed: March 16, 2000

For: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR
DELIVERING RADIATION THERAPY
DURING SUSPENDED VENTILATION

Examiner: Mendoza, Michael G.

Art Unit: 3731

AMENDMENT PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.312

Mail Stop Issue Fee

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

This Amendment is in response to the Notice of Allowance mailed February 23, 2007.

The proposed Amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented because it corrects an inadvertent omission in that the Specification fails to make reference to an earlier filed PCT application to which the present application claims priority. It is not believed that the amendment to the Specification will require further searching or present new issues. Since the present amendment is a matter of form, Applicants request that the present amendment to the Specification be entered pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.312.